

# MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

TWENTY-SEVENTH DAY.

Monday, 2nd April 1956.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Atara Kacheri, Bangalore, at Twelve of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI H. S. RUDRAPPA, B.SC., LL.B.) in the Chair.

## QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

MR. SPEAKER.—Questions.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—Mr. Speaker, I have a point of privilege.

MR. SPEAKER.—You can raise it after question hour.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—So far as a question of privilege is concerned, it can be raised at any time. That is according to the rules. Rule 173 says:

“(1) Any member of the Assembly may draw attention to a matter of privilege by handing to the Speaker a written motion to that effect.

“(2) A motion on a matter of privilege arising during the sitting of the Assembly is, notwithstanding anything contained in Rules 41 and 43, entitled to immediate precedence over all other business.”

According to the second clause of Rule 173, this can be raised at any time. During the sitting of the Assembly Sri Channabasappa. . .

MR. SPEAKER.—Please give a written notice according to that.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—All right, I will do so.

MR. SPEAKER.—Questions Nos. 38, 265, 266, 267 and 268 can be taken up together.

## Bangalore Race Club.

Q.—38. Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR (T.-Narsipur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the amendments to the constitution of the Bangalore Race Club, as suggested by the Race Course Committee, have been accepted by the Club ;

(b) if not, what action do the Government propose to take ?

A.—SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home).—

(a) No.

(b) The matter is under consideration.

Q.—265. Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY (Gauribidanur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the Bangalore Race Club is a private institution and whether there are any rules framed for its management ; if so, whether these rules have been approved by the Government of Mysore ;

(b) whether under the rules the Government and His Highness the Maharaja are invested with the power to nominate Stewards and Members to the Committee of Management of the Club and for the conduct of races ;

(c) the names of Government nominees and the nominees of His

(1413)

(SRI N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY)

Highness for the years 1952, 1953, 1954 and 1955 ;

(d) whether the Government have any share financial or otherwise in the Race Club ; if so, the nature of the interest ;

(e) whether the Government gives any annual grant or subvention to the Club ;

(f) when was the licence for the first time issued to the Club for conducting horse races ;

(g) whether that licence was being renewed regularly till 1954 ;

(h) whether it is a fact that the licence was refused this year ; if so, what are the reasons for such refusal ?

A.—SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA  
(Minister for Home).—

(a) The Bangalore Race Club is not a private institution. It is a public institution which under a license

issued by the Government under the Mysore Race Course Licensing Act, 1952 carries on the business of a Race Club. Club has approved bye-laws.

(b) Yes ;

(c) Yes ;

Statement is appended below :—

(d) The Government have given lands free of rent to the Race Club, on lease, in one of the best parts of Bangalore. Government are also the licensing authorities for issue of license to conduct horse races. They are entitled to a certain percentage of tax collections from the races along with the License fees.

(e) No.

(f) On 20th April 1953.

(g) Yes.

(h) In the absence of a valid application from the Race Club for renewal of license for the year 1956, the question of grant or refusal of the same does not arise.

V-, 32" N 56

N 58-14-3-21-9

(B) 5000

| <i>Government Nominees</i>                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | <i>Nominees of His Highness</i>                                                  |                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>Steward</i>                                                                                                                                                                                     | <i>Committee Members</i>                                                                                                                                                                                        | <i>Steward</i>                                                                   | <i>Committee Member</i>         |
| 1952 H. B. Gundappa Gowda ...                                                                                                                                                                      | Sriyuths—<br>(i) K. N. Guruswamy<br>(ii) S. M. Ramakrishna Rao<br>(till 10th May 1952).<br>L. S. Venkaji Rao (from<br>10th May 1952).<br>(i) K. N. Guruswamy (till<br>17th May 1953).<br>(ii) L. S. Venkaji Rao | Sriyuths—<br>P. Medapa                                                           | Sriyuths—<br>... S. Abdul Wajid |
| 1953 H. B. Gundappa Gowda (till<br>18th February 1953),<br>Brig. M. S. Dhillon (from 18th<br>February 1953 to 24th<br>September 1953).<br>Mirle N. Lakshminaranappa<br>(from 24th September 1953). |                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Do                                                                               | do                              |
| 1954 Mirle N. Lakshminaranappa ...                                                                                                                                                                 | (i) L. S. Venkaji Rao ...<br>(ii) <i>Vacant</i>                                                                                                                                                                 | Do                                                                               | do                              |
| 1955 Mirle N. Lakshminaranappa...                                                                                                                                                                  | <i>Till 9th June 1955.</i><br>(i) L. S. Venkaji Rao ...<br>(ii) <i>Vacant</i><br><br><i>From 9th June 1955.</i><br>(i) G. S. Venkataramana<br>Iyer.<br>(ii) Brig. R. C. R. Hill                                 | P. Medapa (till 28th May<br>1955).<br>S. V. Subramanyam (from<br>23th May 1955). | do                              |

Q.—266. Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY (Gauribidanur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the Government appointed a Committee to look into the affairs of the Bangalore Race Club ; if so, when and whether the Committee have submitted a report ;

(b) what are the recommendations of the Committee ;

(c) whether it is a fact that one of the recommendations was that the Chief Minister should be made the Vice-Patron ; if so, whether the Club refused to accept this recommendation ;

(d) whether it is a fact that the Chief Minister requested His Highness the Maharaja not to continue Sri P. Medapa (Retired Chief Justice) as his nominee in the Race Club ;

(e) whether it is a fact that Sri S. V. Subramanyam and Brigadier Hill were nominated as Stewards or as members of the Managing Committee of the Club ;

(f) whether it is a fact that Sri S. V. Subramanyam is a race horse owner and also a punter ;

(g) whether the Government of Mysore have paid entrance and annual subscription fees out of Government funds for their nominees to the Club ; if so, whether there is any precedent for such payment ;

(h) whether the Government are aware that in the Royal Western Indian Turf of Bombay and Turf Club of Madras, the Government nominees have no power to vote and do not pay any subscription ?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home).—

(a) Yes ; the Committee constituted by Government in G.O. No. H.A. 2749-58—Pol. 376-53-6, dated 27th August 1954 submitted its report on 11th February 1955.

(b) Copies of the Report have already been distributed to the Members of the Legislature.

(c) The Committee recommended that the Chief Minister should be invited to become the Vice-Patron of the Club.

There is no difference between the recommendation of the Committee and the existing rule 7 which says that the Head of the Administration in Mysore and the General Officer commanding, Madras Area, will be invited to become Vice-Patrons of the Club.

(d) Information is not readily available.

(e) Sri S. V. Subramanyam has been nominated as Steward by His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore. Brig. Hill has been nominated by Government as a Committee Member.

(f) Government are not aware of it.

(g) As per G.O. No. Ch. S. 4122-24—Misc. 37-54-1, dated 23rd June 1954, the entrance and subscription fees of Government nominees from 1953-54 to 1956-57 have been debited to Government and adjusted out of the betting tax payable to Government.

(h) No.

Q.—267. Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY (Gauribidanur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the Government sponsored one Sri B. Narayanaswamy who was a Sub-Division Officer in Ramanagaram for appointment in some capacity or other in the Bangalore Race Club ; if so, when and in what capacity and under what terms ;

(b) what was his pay when he was S.D.O. at Ramanagaram and whether the Club was asked to pay him Rs. 600 towards his salary, Rs. 150 towards his car allowance, Rs. 150 towards pensionary contribution and Rs. 70 towards peons' allowance ;

(c) whether the said Sri B. Narayanaswamy passed an award in favour of Sri V. Nanjappa for a sum of Rs. 37,000 and odd for the acquisition of Mattedoddi lands ; if so, when ?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home).—

(a) Yes : on 12th May 1955 as Special Officer, in connection with the administration of the Race Club.

The following terms were specified and orders issued :—

Pay at Rs. 600 per mensem ;  
Conveyance allowance at  
Rs. 150 per mensem ; Allow-  
ance at Rs. 75 per mensem  
for servants and a contribution  
towards pension and leave  
allowances at the rate of Rs. 125  
per mensem recoverable from  
the Club.

(b) His pay as Sub-Division  
Officer, Ramanagaram, was Rs. 500 per  
mensem.

*Vide* answer to (a) above.

(c) Does not arise.

Q.—268. Sri N. C. NAGAI AH  
REDDY (Gauribidanur).—

Will the Government be pleased to  
state :—

(a) whether Gymkhana Races  
were run in Mysore in 1954 or 1955 ;  
if so, who had applied for licence ;

(b) in whose favour the licence  
was issued and under what terms ;

(c) whether a copy of the licence  
will be placed on the Table of the  
House ;

(d) whether any licence fee was  
levied ; if so, what was the amount  
paid by the licensee ;

(e) what was the licence fee  
levied before or after January of the  
year of licence generally ;

(f) whether there is any provision  
for granting exemption from the levy  
of licence fees in the case of racing ?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA  
(Minister for Home).—

(a) Yes, in 1955. Huzur  
Secretary to His Highness.

(b), (c) and (d). The Palace  
authorities requested the Government  
to permit Gymkhana Races to be run  
by them just to encourage sports and  
provide some entertainment during  
Dasara. Hence, permission was  
granted to the Huzur Secretary to His  
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore to  
run the said races only at the Mysore  
Race Course during Dasara Season,  
1955. The Licence fee was waived.

(e) Licence fee is Rs. 3,000. If  
the application is not made within  
the specific period, the fee shall be  
Rs. 6,000.

(f) Exemption was granted ; as  
the Palace authorities wanted to  
conduct Gymkhana Races only and  
not regular races.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Before  
supplementaries are put, I want your  
permission to make a very short state-  
ment on this subject. Probably it will  
facilitate the asking of supplementaries  
by Hon'ble Members.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir,  
with your permission, I desire to  
supplement the answers given to these  
questions by a short statement so that  
Hon'ble Members may get a clear  
picture of the affairs of the Race Club  
which have been figuring somewhat  
prominently in the debates during the  
past few days.

Hon'ble Members will doubtless  
remember that some two years ago  
considerable criticism was levelled in  
this House about the way the affairs of  
the Race Club were being managed and  
also the manner in which the Races  
were being run. In response to that  
criticism Government set up a Commit-  
tee to go into the whole question. Mem-  
bers of the Assembly and the Council  
were also represented on the Com-  
mittee. The Race Club was requested to  
nominate two representatives to serve  
on the Committee. The report of the  
Committee had been distributed to  
Hon'ble Members of this House some  
time ago and I do not want to take up  
the time of the House by detailing the  
findings or the recommendations of the  
Committee. But, at this stage, I may  
mention that of the two representa-  
tives of the Race Club, one did not at all  
attend the meetings and the other  
refused to participate on the ground  
that he had no authority of the Race  
Club to do so and merely dissented  
from the findings. Also information  
asked for from the Club was not forth-  
coming to the Committee.

Whatever that may be, Government  
accepted all the recommendations of  
the Committee and wrote to the Club  
in February 1955 requesting them to

(SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.)

carry out the recommendations of the Committee by amending their Rules. All I can say is that till today that has not been done. I see from the Proceedings of the House during the current session that some Hon'ble Members have criticised one of the recommendations of the Committee which is alleged to insist on the Chief Minister being made Vice-Patron. The Chief Minister has no personal interest in the affairs of the Race Club. He is not interested in racing and I may say that under the existing Rules he is already a Vice-Patron of the Club as the Head of the Administration. The recommendation of the Committee in relation to this matter was merely consequential.

Last year, when the Club applied for a licence, they were informed that unless the recommendations of the Committee were implemented, Government would not grant a licence. The Senior Steward wrote to Government a number of times that the amendments suggested by the Government would be recommended for adoption by the general body. On this assurance Government issued a licence and also appointed a Special Officer as agreed to. But, as soon as a licence was issued, the attitude of the Race Club changed completely. They disclosed a reluctance to place the amendments before the general body which they should have done. A Negotiating Committee was set up to meet Government to discuss amendments proposed. Though this Negotiating Committee was agreeable to certain amendments, the Management of the Club was not. Then at another general meeting held on 18th July 1955, a second Committee was set up to meet Government. This Committee, till today, has not met Government at all. The general body was merely informed that Government would not issue a licence. The general body merely framed a resolution authorising the Committee of the Club to take action against the signatories of the report. The Special Officer who was appointed was not paid his salary for a long time.

I can go on detailing many more things; but I do not want to take the time of the House. If you permit, Sir, I am prepared to place all the material in the possession of Government before this House so that any Hon'ble Member who is interested can see how time and again the Club has adopted an attitude which leaves no alternative to the Government but to take the present stand.

For this year, no application was at all made till January. Then, in January Government got a letter asking for a licence and setting out several conditions to be fulfilled by Government when granting a licence. It was a most curious request. It was not accompanied by any licence fee. Later, last month, an application was received but only half the licence fee due was remitted. When this application was also rejected they remitted the balance. Not a word has been said about the amendments to the Rules.

I see that arguments are being advanced that Government should not interfere in private institutions. It may be true as a general proposition. But when such private institutions deal in matters which affect the public at large, Government would be failing in their duty if they did not exercise a general supervision to see that the public were treated fairly. The Government have interfered in the affairs of the Race Club earlier on more than one occasion. In 1932, the Dewan Sir Mirza M. Ismail noticing the attitude of the Club stated as follows:

"We have got to be on our guard in dealing with the Bangalore Race Club, and prevent any attempt they may make, as I believe they will make, to get themselves up as a body independent of Government. We must also secure a more effective voice to the Government in this Club."

In 1949, when differences arose between Government and the Race Club, one of the present Stewards approached Government and brought about a settlement and on that occasion he made it very clear that Government had every right to interfere to set right

matters in the interests of the public. The Government who had prepared an Ordinance to meet the situation did not issue it as the Club came round. On those earlier occasions, the Race Club has realised that Government have such a right, and has acted according to the suggestion of Government. Further, the Legislature having passed the Race Course Licensing Act which provides for the issue of an annual licence have cast the duty on Government to exercise such supervision in the interests of the public by granting or withholding the licence according to the circumstances in each case.

I may mention that till today the general body of the Club has not at all considered the amendments on their merits. Whether it is because the Committee do not want such consideration by the general body or the general body itself has not done so, the fact remains that there has been no move so far to consider the amendments. On the other hand, some members of the Club individually and collectively tried to intercede with Government to grant a licence, to grant a loan, to do this or that and curiously each time it is a new face and a new person.

So far as Government are concerned, I want to make it clear that Government stand by the report which has the approval of the representatives of the Legislature. If there are one or two amendments which require revision we will examine it. But, by and large, unless these amendments are adopted by the Race Club, Government will not consider any application for a licence and this has been made very clear to the Race Club more than once. There is an Extraordinary General Body Meeting fixed for 23rd instant. If at that Meeting the amendments are not adopted, Government have perhaps no option but to decide that the Race Club, by not taking steps to have racing in Bangalore, has failed in its objects and therefore the lands should be resumed by Government from the Race Club. Hon'ble Members may also remember that there is a section of opinion that as a matter of policy Government should ban racing altogether.

I realise that owners and trainees and others may suffer considerably if there is no racing in Bangalore. I would suggest that, instead of appealing to Government, they may appeal to the Race Club to see reason and act in the best interests of racing.

There are many more things I could say. But, as I said, I do not want to take up too much time and I am ready to place all relevant records before this House or before any Hon'ble Member who may be interested in knowing what the truth is. I dare say that if facts are known no occasion will be found to criticise the Government in the stand taken by them at present.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—I have no supplementaries.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಕೃಷ್ಣನ ಮ್ಯಾಜೇಜುವೆಂಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಮೂರು ಜನ ನಾಮಿನೀಸ್ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರು ಯಾವಾಗ ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಅಕೌಂಟ್ಸ್ ಚೆಕ್ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು. ಯಾವಾಗ ಬೇಕಾದರೂ he may call for accounts. ಅದೂ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ನೀವು ಅಬ್ ಸರ್ವೈಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಇಬ್ಬರಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅಕೌಂಟ್ಸ್ ಆಗಿಂದಾಗ್ಯೇ ಆಡಿಟ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಿ. ಹೀಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಇದ್ದುಕೊಂಡು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ಕೊಡದೇ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏನು ಅಂಥ ಪ್ರಬಲವಾದ ಕಾರಣವಿತ್ತು?

\*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ತ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ಅರಿಕೆಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಂಡ ಹಾಗೆ, ಇದಲ್ಲಾ ಇದ್ದೀವಿ. ಇವೊತ್ತಿನ ವರೆಗೂ ರೇಸ್ ಕ್ಲಬ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯತಕ್ಕ ಅಲ್ಲನ ಏದ್ಯ ಮಾನಗಳು ನಮ್ಮ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನಾಮಿನೀಸ್‌ಗೆ ಅನೇಕ ಸಾರಿ ಗೊತ್ತೇ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮ ಅಬ್‌ಸರ್ವರ್ಸ್ ಯಾರಿದ್ದರೋ ಅವರನ್ನು ನಾವು ಮೊದಲನೆ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ withdraw ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅದೂ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಏನೇನು ಲಕೂನ ರ್ಯಾಪ್ಸ್ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿವೆಯೋ, ಅವನ್ನು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ ಹಿತದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸೂಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನನ್ನ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಒಂದು ಕ್ಯಾಟಲಾಗ್ ಇದೆ, ಅದನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ತಾವೇ ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ನೋಡಬಹುದು. ತಮ್ಮ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದನ್ನೂ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಹಾಗಲ್ಲ. ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರು ಯಾವಾಗ ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಲೇಕೇಜ್ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಅಡುಕಿದಿರುವುದು ಹೀಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಇದ್ದು ಕೂಡ unnecessary ಆಗಿ ಆ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣ ಏನಿತ್ತು? ಲೇಕೇಜ್ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನ್ನರಿಂದ ಏನಾದರೂ ವರದಿ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ? ಇಲ್ಲ ನಾಮಿನೀಸ್ ಏನಾದರೂ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಯೇ? ಎಕ್ಸಿಕ್ಯೂಟಿವ್ ಕಮಿಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ನಾಮಿನೀಸ್ ಇದ್ದೂ ಕೂಡ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಏನೂ ಗೊತ್ತೇ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದರೆ ಅವರೆಂಥಾ ನಾಮಿನೀಸ್, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ! ಅದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅಂಥ ಪ್ರಬಲವಾದ ಕಾರಣ ಏನಿತ್ತು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನೂ ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಹೇಳಬೇಕು.

\*Asterisk indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the Member concerned

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಅಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ನಾಮಿನೀಸ್‌ಗೆ, ನಮಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದೂ ಸಹ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದುಂಟು. ಅಷ್ಟೇ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಅನೇಕ ಸಾರಿ ನಮ್ಮ ನಾಮಿನೀಸ್‌ಗೆ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಡದೆ ಆಗಬೇಕಾದ ಎಷ್ಟೋ ಕೆಲಸ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಾ ಇದೆ. ಜನರ ಬಾಡಿಯಾಟಿಂಗ್ ಕರೆಯಿರಿ ಎಂದರೆ ನಮ್ಮ ನಾಮಿನೀಸ್ ಮಾತಿಗೆ ಬೆಲೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಅಷ್ಟೇ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಯಾವರೀತಿ ಆಗುತ್ತಿದೆ ಎಂಬ ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯವೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ತಿಳಿಯುತ್ತಾ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಒಬ್ಬ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯನ್ನು day to day administrationಗೆ ಇಟ್ಟುಮೇಲೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಾ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ವಿಷಯ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ರೇಸ್‌ಕೋರ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಈಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜ್ ಮೆಂಟ್ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ ಹಿತದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿದುದರಿಂದಲೇ ಆ ಅಮೆಂಡ್ ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿರುವುದು. ನಾವು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಳಿರುವುದನ್ನೇ 1938ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. 1949ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂಡ ಇವೊತ್ತು ಯಾವ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ನೂಡನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀವೆಯೋ, ಅದೇ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ನೂಡನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರು.

MR. SPEAKER.—You have said in answer to (h) of question 265 that in the absence of a valid application from the Race Club for renewal of licence for the year 1956, the question of grant or refusal of the same did not arise.

SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Yes, at that time there was no valid application at all. Now there is valid application. I have made it clear that under the Licensing Act, duty is cast on the Government, before issuing licence, to see that all the conditions of licence are examined in the interest of the public. And as soon as they gave the application, according to rules, I have immediately made it known to them that the licence application would not be considered until the bye-laws are suitably amended.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ತಾವು suitable amendment ಕೇಳಿರುವುದು ಏತಕ್ಕೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ತಮಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್‌ನ ಅಪೆಂಡಿಕ್ಸ್ (c) ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಎಂದರೆ ಚೀಫ್ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರು ವೈಸ್ ಪೇಟ್ರನ್ ಆಗಿರಬೇಕು, ಅವರನ್ನು invite ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದೆ. Uninvited guest ಆಗಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದೆ. ಎರಡನೆಯದು ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕಮಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೆಜಾರಿಟಿ ಇರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದೆ. ಇವೆರಡನ್ನೂ ತಾನೆ ತಾವು ಅಮೆಂಡ್ ಮೆಂಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ನೂಡಿಸಿರುವುದು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಚೀಫ್ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರ ವಿಷಯ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ವೈಸ್ ಪೇಟ್ರನ್ ಆಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ. It is purely a consequential thing ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಚೀಫ್ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರ್ ಬೇಡ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಚೀಫ್ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರು ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯನವರೇ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಯಾವ ಚೀಫ್ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರು ಬರುತ್ತಾರೋ ಅವರು ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ರೂಲ್ 12ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಮೆಂಡ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ರೂಲ್ 13, 14, 15 (a), 19, 32 ಮತ್ತು 60 ಇವು ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಗಳು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು invite ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿದೆಯೇ ಹೊರತು ಅವರನ್ನು ಆ ಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ನೇಮಿಸಿಯೇ ತೀರಬೇಕೆಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದನ್ನು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟ clarify ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ರೂಲ್ ಒಂದಿರ ಬಹುಶಃ ಅದು clear ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ರೂಲ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ—

5. "The club consists of a patron, vice-patrons, club Members, Stand Members and Hon'y Stand Members."

ಎಂದಿದೆ. ಈಗ ರೂಲ್ 7ರಲ್ಲಿ

"The Head of the Administration in Mysore and the General Officer Commanding Madras Area will be invited to become Vice-Patrons of the Club."

ಈ ರೀತಿ ಇದೆ. ನಾವು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ—

"The Chief Minister of Mysore will be invited to become Vice-Patron of the Club."

ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಯಾತಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರವೆಂದರೆ ಈಗ ಮದರಾಸು ಸಬ್-ವಿರಯಾ ಕಮಾಂಡರ್ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ. ಪೂನಾಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಬೈಲಾದಲ್ಲಿ to that extent consequential amendment ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

"The object of the amendment was to delete the 'Madras Area Commander.'"

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—Will be invited or shall be invited ಎಂದು mandatory ಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಇಷ್ಟುಬಂದರೆ invite ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಇದು ಯಾಕೆ ಇರಬೇಕು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗಿರುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ phraseology ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಕಡಿಮೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ತಿದ್ದಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿದ್ಧನಾಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ. The Chief Minister of Mysore will be invited ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಬೇಡವೆಂದರೆ—

"The Head of the Administration and the General Officer Commanding Madras Area will be invited to become Vice-Patrons of the Club."

ಎಂದು ಮಾಡಬಹುದು. Invited ಎನ್ನುವುದೂ ಬೇಡ, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಬದಲು ಬೇರೆ ಹಾಕಬೇಕು ಎಂದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೂ ನನ್ನ ಅಭ್ಯಂತರವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ತಾವೇನಾದರೂ ಇದನ್ನು ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರೀಕರಣ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.  
ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ತಾವು ಎಲ್ಲ  
ಶಿಫಾರ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನೂ ಸಹ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ  
ದಿರಿ. ಆ ಶಿಫಾರ್ಸುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ Punter or Gambler  
ಇರಬಹುದೆಂದಿದೆ. ಅಂಥವರನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ  
ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಇಂಥವರೊಬ್ಬರಿದ್ದಾರೆ  
ರೆಯೇ ಎಂದು ತಾವು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ  
public enquiry ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ತಾವು ಗೃಹ  
ಸಚಿವರಾಗಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ವಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯಂ  
ಎಂಬವರು ಗ್ಯಾಂಟ್ ಆಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಪಂಚರ್ ಆಗಿ  
ದ್ದಾರೆ, ರಾಜಕೀಯ ಗ್ಯಾಂಟ್ ಆಗಿದ್ದಾರೆ.  
'Government are not aware of it' ಎಂದು  
ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇದು ಸತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ದೂರವಾದದ್ದಲ್ಲವೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Personal imputa-  
tions should not be made.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—It is  
a fact. ಅವರು, ಗ್ಯಾಂಟ್, ಪಂಚರ್ ಎರಡೂ  
ಆಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಲ್ಲವೇ? Also a political gambler?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—Political gambler  
ವಿಚಾರ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಗ್ಯಾಂಟ್ ವಿಚಾರ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.  
ಪಂಚರ್ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರ  
ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ  
advice ಮೇಲೆಲ್ಲವೇ ಮೇದಪ್ಪನವರ ಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ  
ಮಹಾಪುರುಷರನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡದ್ದು?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir, I  
may tell my Hon'ble friends, it is not  
true to facts, for this reason. ಮೇದಪ್ಪ  
ನವರು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ರಾಜ ಪ್ರಮುಖರ ನಾಮನಿರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.  
ಮೇದಪ್ಪನವರು ರಾಜನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ  
ಒಂದು ವೇಳೆ ಬಿತ್ತು. ಆ ಜಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಮಹಾರಾಜರು  
ಇವರನ್ನು ನಾಮನೇಷ ಮಾಡಿದರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಕಳೆದ ವರ್ಷ  
ಬಡ್ಡೆಟ್ಟನ್ನು ಮುಂಡೆಯೇ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಿದ 'ಡೈಲಿ  
ಪ್ರೊಗ್ರೆಸ್' ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ Proprietor ಇವರೇ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ?  
ಅದ್ಭುತವು.—ಆ ವಿಷಯ ಬೇರೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ  
ನಂಬಿಂಧನಿಂತೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೇಳಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಇವರಿಗಿದ್ದ  
mining licence ನಷ್ಟೆಂಡ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಇವರಮೇಲೆ  
ಪ್ರಾಸಿಕ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಕ್ರಮ ಜರುಗಿಸಿದ್ದು ನಿಜವೇ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Does it  
arise, Sir?

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—I want  
to know whether that man is the same  
person. It is political immorality that  
such a man should be appointed as  
Steward. Government has committed  
political immorality in selecting such a  
man as that for that post. I want to  
know whether it is the same man or  
not.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Does it  
arise out of this, Sir?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble  
Member can ask another question.

L.A.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಮಿರ್ಸಾ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀ  
ನಾರಣಪ್ಪ ಎಂಬ ಮಹನೀಯರು ಯಾರು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಅವರು ಹಿಂದೆ ಆಡ್ವೋ  
ಕೇಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ರಾಗಿದ್ದರು. ಬಹಳ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧರಾದ  
ವಕೀಲರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಅವರು ಹಿಂದೆ  
ನ್ಯೂವರ್ಡ್ ಆಗಿದ್ದು ಅವರನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಿ  
ರಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಅವರು ಹಿಂದೆ ಇದ್ದ  
ರನ್ನುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತು. ಅವರನ್ನು ಯಾತಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದು  
ಹಾಕಿದರೆನ್ನುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡ್ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಅನೇಕವೇಳೆ  
ಕೆಲವರು ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆ, change ಆಗುತ್ತಾರೆ.  
ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ನಡೆದಿರಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಹನು  
ಮಂತಯ್ಯನವರ ತಮ್ಮಂದಿರಾದ ನಂಜಪ್ಪನವರ  
ಕೇಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಕಾಲತ್ತು ವಹಿಸಿ ಅದನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಿದವರು  
ಇವರೇ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is not necessary,  
Sri Nagai ah Reddy.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—15 ಲಕ್ಷ  
ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ನಷ್ಟವಾಗತಕ್ಕ ವಿಷಯ  
ವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ, ಮತ್ತು whims and fancies  
ಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರಾದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಈ  
ಮನೆಯ ಮೂರು ನಾಲ್ಕು ಜನ ಸದಸ್ಯರನ್ನೂ  
ಗೊಂಡ ಒಂದು ಸಮಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಾವು  
ತಯಾರಾಗಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—A  
Committee is already there. I am  
prepared always to take this House  
into confidence and if anybody says  
that the Government have not exercised  
proper control or their discretion, I am  
prepared to make amends.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಈ ಮನೆಯಿಂದ  
ಮೂರು ಜನರನ್ನು ನೇಮಕಮಾಡಿ, ಇದರ ಸಂಬಂಧ  
ದಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಮಾಡಿ ಈ ಮನೆಗೆ ಒಂದು ವರದಿ  
ಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಅತ್ಯಾವಶ್ಯಕವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದು  
ಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ  
ರೆಯೇ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I do  
not think it would be possible for me to  
accept that suggestion for the reason  
that a Committee has already gone into  
the question on which the Hon'ble  
Members of both the Houses were duly  
represented.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಈ grazing  
field ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಆಟ ಹೂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ  
ಎಂದು ಜನರು ಅಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮೇಯು  
ವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಜಮೀನು ಸಾಲದು, ಇನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡ  
ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಂದು ಅಂದು  
ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇದು ನಿಜವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಜನರು ಅಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳ  
ಬಹುದು. ತಾವು ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಗೆ ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳ  
ಬೇಡಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಭೀಮಪ್ಪನಾಯಕ್.—ರೇಸಿಂಗ್ ವಗೈರೆ ನಡೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕಾನೂನು ಮತ್ತು ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಇವೆ.  
ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಭೀಮಪ್ಪನಾಯಕ್.—ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೂ ನಮ್ಮ ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡುವುದಾದರೆ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ, ಅವರು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ವಗೈರೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿ, ಹಾಗೆ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಖಾಸಗಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗೂ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನೀವು ಯಾರು ? ಏನಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದರೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ rules enforce ಮಾಡಬಹುದು. ಆ ರೀತಿ ಯಾಕೆ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಅದು ಖಾಸಗಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. 1938ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೈಲಾಗನ್ನು ಫ್ರೇಂ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆವಾಗ ನಡೆದಿರತಕ್ಕ ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರವನ್ನು ನಾನು ನೋಡಿರಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಈ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ 'ಪ್ರೈವೇಟ್' ಅಲ್ಲ.

It is an association of people in whom trust is reposed so that they may work in the larger interests of the public.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಭೀಮಪ್ಪನಾಯಕ್.—ನಾನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದೂ ಅದೇ. ನೀವು ಅವರ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ಮುಂತಾಗಿ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆಂತ, ನಿಮಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕಂಡ ಆಕ್ಷು ಮತ್ತು ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡು, ಅದರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಾದರೆ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಲು ತಮಗಿರುವ ಕಷ್ಟವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ರೀತಿ ಹೋಗಬಾರದು. ಸಮಾಧಾನವಾಗಿ ಹೋಗಬೇಕೆಂದು ಆಲೋಚನೆಮಾಡಿ ಹೀಗೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಸಭೆಯವರು ಆ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇಷ್ಟಪಟ್ಟರೆ ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಗಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಭೀಮಪ್ಪನಾಯಕ್.—ನಮ್ಮ ಕಾನೂನು ಮತ್ತು ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಡೆದರೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ, ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ತಪ್ಪೇನು ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I will certainly examine that.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ದಿವಸಗಳ ಹಿಂದೆ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ರೇಸ್‌ಕ್ಲಬ್‌ನಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ, ಫೈನಲ್ ಆಗಿ, ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ, ಸರಿಯಾದ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬಂದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದಾಗ valid ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬಂದಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ನಾವು ಬಾಗಿಲನ್ನು ಮುಚ್ಚಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಸರಿಯಾದ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ, ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನೀತಿಗನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ನಡೆಯುವುದಾದರೆ ಈಗೂ ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Sir, has it come to the notice of the Government that the members of this House and the public are pressing the Government not to issue licence for this Race Club ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I have already said in my statement—there is one section like that.

12-30 P.M.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Are the Government aware that on account of the racing in Bangalore, huge sums of money flow out of Bangalore ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That is a matter of opinion.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Are the Government aware that on account of the racing in Bangalore there would be economic depression in Bangalore ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir, as I have already said, there are two opinions ; there are some people who feel that there would be economic depression in Bangalore if races are held and there are some who think quite the contrary.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Will the Government stop the races in the interest of the public ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That is not the policy of the Government now. If the Government are satisfied that a license should not be issued in the interest of the public, then, they will consider it.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Are the Government aware that Sri S. V. Subramaniam is a Justice of the Peace in Bangalore ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Yes.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN.—Are the Government aware that he is owner of race-horses ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I believe so.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I want to know whether Government have imposed some conditions in the case of Race Club, Mysore.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—No. Licence was given under very special circumstances because it was not racing.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Have you imposed the same conditions in the case of Mysore Race Club as you have done in the case of Bangalore Race Club ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—No.

**Sri T. MARIAPPA.**—What are the special circumstances that prompted Government not to impose these conditions?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—The case of the Mysore Race Club cannot be considered on a parallel with the Bangalore Race Club because it was given purely for the purpose of sport.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಅನಂತರಾಮ್.**—ರೇಸ್‌ಕೋರ್ಸ್ ಸಮಿತಿ ಮೆಂಬರುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ಟಾಪ್ ಕುಡುರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಜೂಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.**—ಆ ರೀತಿ ಕೆಲವು ವರದಿಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ, ಅವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. In fact, that is one of the amendments suggested to the bye-law.

**Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.**—Do you admit that racing is a licensed gambling?

**Sri T. MARIAPPA.**—Everybody knows it.

**Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.**—In view of the fact that it is a licensed gambling, will the Government consider the question of abolishing it?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—That is a different issue altogether.

**Sri B. NARAYANASWAMY.**—Is it the opinion of the Government that at Mysore there was no betting or there were no Bookies appointed?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—There was betting and I have received betting tax; betting tax is not abolished; only the licensing fee was exempted.

**Sri B. NARAYANASWAMY.**—Is there anything in the License Act to exempt Gymkhana Races?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—So far as I know, there is nothing in the rules. But, Government, in the exercise of their discretion, did it.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎನ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.**—ಈ ರೇಸ್ ಕ್ಲಬ್ಬಿಗೆ ಕುಣಿಗರ್ ನೌಡ್ ಫಾರಂನಿಂದ ಒಬ್ಬ ಮೆಂಬರ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ರೆಸಲ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—I want notice; I think there is some resolution like that.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎನ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.**—ಕುಣಿಗರ್ ನೌಡ್ ಫಾರಂ ಕುಡುರೆಗಳು ರೇಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಒಡುತ್ತವೆಲ್ಲವೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.**—ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ತೆನರು ಗಳಿಸಿವೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎನ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.**—ಆಲ್ಟಿಂದ ಒಬ್ಬ ಮೆಂಬರನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಹಿಂದುಮುಂದು ನೋಡುವುದೇಕೆ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.**—ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ರೇಸ್ ಕ್ಲಬ್ಬಿನವರು.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎನ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.**—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಮೆಂಬರನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಒತ್ತಾಯ ಹಾಕಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—I want notice; that question will be examined.

**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.**—In answer to question 266 (d) asking whether it is a fact that the Chief Minister requested His Highness the Maharaja not to continue Sri P. Medappa (Retired Chief Justice) as his nominee in the Race Club, it is said that information is not readily available. May I know whether Government were not able to trace the case or consult the Chief Minister in the matter and answer the question?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—Sir, Sri Medappa was the nominee of His Highness. We have not called for any information from His Highness. So far as I could find from the records, it is: His Highness has intimated that he has the pleasure to nominate so and so.

**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.**—Government could have asked the Chief Minister whether this was a fact. It was not necessary for them to have asked His Highness for the information.

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—Information is not available; I want notice for that.

**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.**—Was not sufficient notice given?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—Notice was not adequate; I want further notice.

**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.**—In answer to question 267, it is said that Rs. 75 per mensem for servants is paid to the Special Officer. Are Government providing servants to their Officers?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—It was according to the suggestion of the Senior Steward that he came here. It was generally observed that if officers draw more, they credit it to the Government as they do in other private institutions.

**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.**—What about the Rs. 75 to the servants?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—So far as that is concerned, he is entitled to two free servants as Senior Assistant Commissioner; that is given.

**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.**—Is it a fact that Senior Assistant Commissioners are entitled to free servants at the cost of the Government for their personal work?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—It is not for the personal work; it is for office work.

**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.**—Then, there is absolutely no necessity to pay Rs. 75 for the servants.

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—There is the Accountant-General to consider all that. If he has drawn anything against the rule, I will see that he pays it back.

**ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.**—ಕುದುರೆ ಜೂಜಿನಿಂದ ಬಹಳ ಜನ ಬೃದ್ಧವರು ಹಾಳಾಗುತ್ತಾರೆಲ್ಲವೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.**—ಅದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದ ಮಾತು.

**ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.**—ನುಮಾರು ಮೂರು ಪರ್ಷಗಳ ಹಿಂದೆ ಯಾರೋ ಒಬ್ಬರು ರೇಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹಣವನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕಳೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರೈಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಯಾಣ ಮಾಡಲು ಹಣವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಎವರೆಸ್ ಹೋಟೆಲ್ ಮಹಡಿ ಮೇಲಿನಿಂದ ಬಿದ್ದು ಪ್ರಾಣ ಕಳೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.**—ಜೀವನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಹಿ ಕಹಿ ಎರಡೂ ಇವೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.**—ಈಗ ಕೇವಲ ಮನ ರಂಜನೆಗಾಗಿ ಕುದುರೆ ಜೂಜನ್ನು ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಲ್ಲವೇ?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—It is called Royal Sports.

**ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.**—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ರಾಯಲ್ ಸ್ಪೋರ್ಟ್ಸ್ಗೆ ಹೋಗುವುದು ಒಂದು ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯವೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.**—ಅದು ಹಾಗಾಗರೆಂದು ನಂಬಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿದೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.**—ಈಗ ರೇಸ್ ಕ್ಲಬ್ಬನ್ನು ಉರಿದಾಟೆ ಸ್ಟಾಡಿಯಂ ಹತ್ತಿರಕ್ಕೆ ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.**—ನೋಟೀಸ್.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Question Numbers 250, 386, 390 and 460 may be taken up together.

### Kolar Gold Fields (Nationalisation).

**Q.—250. Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY (Gauribidanur).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the Government of India had deputed a team of officials to investigate into the question of nationalisation of Kolar Gold Mines and whether this team assessed the nature of the problems involved;

(b) whether they have sent up any report or recommendations to the Government of Mysore or the Government of India;

(c) whether this report will be placed on the Table of this House?

**A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home).**—

(a) An investigation was made by the team of officers of the Government of India.

(b) No report has been sent by the team to this Government.

(c) Does not arise in view of reply to (b) above.

**Q.—386. Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA (Sagar-Hosanagar).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the steps taken for nationalising the Kolar Gold Mines;

(b) whether the Central Government have approved the scheme?

**A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home).**—

(a) Bill for nationalising the Gold Mines has been prepared and will be placed before the House.

(b) The matter has been under correspondence with the Government of India whose views are awaited.

**Q.—390. Sri K. S. VASAN (Kolar Gold Fields).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether they have taken any further steps to implement the decision.